

Blueprint Intergovernmental Agency Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Date: February 29, 2024
To: Board of Directors
From: Artie White, PLACE Director
Subject: Minutes to Board of Directors' Meeting of November 7, 2023

MEMBERS PRESENT

COUNTY	CITY
Christian Caban	Mayor John Dailey
Carolyn Cummings - Chair	Jeremy Matlow
Nick Maddox	Jaqueline Porter
Rick Minor	Curtis Richardson
David O'Keefe	Dianne Williams-Cox – Vice Chair
Bill Proctor	
Brian Welch	

I. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS

Commissioner O'Keefe pulled item 1 from the consent agenda to be discussed.

II. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

The following citizens spoke on non-agenda items:

Laura Leonard spoke on behalf of Tally Robotics and its noncompetitive economic development funding request of \$100,000. She requested that the IA Board direct staff to review TallyRobotics' request for noncompetitive economic development funding proposal.

Tonja and Charlie Ward spoke together on behalf of the Ward Foundation and its noncompetitive economic development funding request of \$4,000,000. They requested that the IA Board direct staff to review the noncompetitive economic development funding proposal.

III. INFORMATION ITEMS

- **Receipt and File:**
 - Blueprint Infrastructure Community Engagement Update
 - Citizens Advisory Committee October 26, 2023 Minutes

IV. CONSENT

- ***Item 2: Acceptance of an Evaluation of the Economic Impact Analysis Requirement for Non-Competitive Economic Development Project Proposals***

PLACE Director, Artie White, explained to Chair Cummings that Item 1 of the Consent Agenda had been pulled by Commissioner O'Keefe for discussion.

Commissioner Williams-Cox moved to accept Item 2 of the Consent Agenda: Acceptance of an Evaluation of the Economic Impact Analysis Requirement for Non-Competitive Economic Development Project Proposals. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Richardson.

Stanley Sims spoke about the importance of citizen input and provided a handout that read "Who do you say that I am?" with a phone number included for a text response.

The motion passed 9-0 (weighted 53-0). Commissioners Porter, Proctor, and Maddox were not in the room at the time of the vote.

- ***Item 1: Approval of the Intergovernmental Agency Board of Directors' September 21, 2023 Blueprint Meeting and Budget Workshop and September 26, 2023 Public Hearing Minutes (Pulled)***

Commissioner O'Keefe stated that the September 21, 2023 IA Board Meeting Minutes needed to be revised to remove the reference to the PLACE Director's authority to approve change orders up to \$1,000,000 to accurately reflect the motion made by Commissioner Caban. Commissioner Caban clarified that he intended for the motion to include the PLACE Director's authority to approve change orders up to \$1,000,000 and stated that any change order above \$1,000,000 and an aggregate of above \$5,000,000 was to come before the IA Board. Commissioner Richardson requested clarification on how the minutes could be updated. Blueprint Attorney, Susan Dawson, explained that the minutes could be amended at this meeting prior to being approved. The minutes were amended to state that any change order over \$1 million must come before the IA Board.

Commissioner O'Keefe moved to accept Item 1: Approval of the Intergovernmental Agency Board of Directors' September 21, 2023 Blueprint Meeting and Budget Workshop and September 26, 2023 Public Hearing Minutes with the necessary corrections. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Caban.

Commissioner Proctor stated he would not support approving staff having the authority to approve change orders up to \$1,000,000.

Blueprint Director, Autumn Calder, explained that the policy would allow staff to implement projects on schedule throughout the construction phase.

Commissioner Cummings explained that at the last IA Board meeting the Board had discussed not wanting to delay the construction schedule for the projects as a reason for the specified change order amounts.

The motion passed 10-2 (weighted 60-10). Commissioners Proctor and Maddox voted in opposition.

Commissioner Williams-Cox clarified that the IA Board was voting on the correction to the minutes not the change order authorization amount. Commissioner Cummings reiterated that the IA Board was voting on the correction to the minutes and not the expenditure amounts.

V. **GENERAL BUSINESS/PRESENTATIONS**

Commissioner Proctor requested clarification about the FAMU funding request for the Bragg Memorial Stadium and when the IA Board should expect the agenda item to be presented. PLACE Director White responded that FAMU had recently submitted additional documentation as requested by staff and that staff was working with FAMU to coordinate a meeting to discuss the funding request. Commissioner Proctor explained that FAMU's request was urgent and discussed the need for additional improvements at the stadium. Commissioner Proctor requested that staff be directed to bring back an agenda item on the FAMU funding request for Bragg Stadium at the next IA Board meeting. Chair Cummings explained that staff did intend to bring the FAMU funding request back as an agenda item at the next IA Board meeting.

Commissioner Proctor then requested clarification about the Bethel Baptist funding request and its relationship to Agenda Item #4 about the use of tax revenue dollars for affordable housing. OEV Director Keith Bowers explained that staff did receive a proposal from Bethel Baptist for \$760,000 and that staff was waiting for further direction from the IA Board before proceeding with its review of the proposal. Director Bowers further explained that the proposal that was received did not align with OEV's Strategic Plan nor its Targeted Industry Study and that staff encouraged Bethel to hold their proposal until after the IA Board has provided further direction based on Agenda Item #4.

- ***Item 3: Approval of New Appointments and Reappointments to the Blueprint Citizens Advisory Committee***

The following citizens spoke on Item 3:

Chuck Goodhart spoke about his professional qualifications and background in applied sciences and botany. He explained that he has served both the City of Tallahassee and Leon County in different areas related to urban planning and development with a focus on environmental education and tree planting projects.

Christina Omran thanked the IA Board for reviewing her qualifications and spoke about her desire to serve on the Blueprint CAC.

Stanley Sims spoke about his concern that Blueprint is becoming a default funding source. He spoke in favor of affordable housing and the Ward Foundation but stated that he believes that some funding requests may not be within the scope of the Blueprint program.

Director Calder provided background on the Blueprint CAC and described the current vacancies and reappointment recommendations. She explained that Chris Daniels and Mary Glowacki, currently holding the at-large CAC seat and the

planning expert seat, respectively, have requested to serve a second term on the Blueprint Citizens Advisory Committee. She further explained that the seats held by Peter Okonkwo, for the Capital City Chamber of Commerce and, Elva Peppers, for the Natural Scientist/Biologist, were coming vacant because their second terms were expiring, and they were ineligible for reappointment since both members had served two consecutive terms. Lastly, Director Calder stated that staff recommended the IA Board approve Options 1 through 3 for the reappointments of Christopher Daniels and Mary Glowacki, and the appointment of Byron Green for the Capital City Chamber of Commerce; and she requested that the IA Board consider the applications of Maria Therese Brown, Chuck Goodheart, and Christina Omran for the Natural Scientist/Biologist position and provide direction to staff for appointment (Option 4).

Chair Cummings opened the floor for a motion related to Options 1, 2, and 3. **Commissioner Williams-Cox moved to accept staff recommendation for Options 1-3. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Richardson.**

- 1. Option 1: Approve the reappointment of Christopher Daniels to the Blueprint Citizens Advisory Committee to fill the at-large member position.**
- 2. Option 2: Approve the reappointment of Mary Glowacki to the Blueprint Citizens Advisory Committee to fill the Planning Expert member position.**
- 3. Option 3: Approve the appointment of Byron Greene to the Blueprint Citizens Advisory Committee to represent the Capital City Chamber of Commerce.**

The motion passed 12-0 (weighted 70-0).

Following the vote on Options 1 through 3, Commissioner Minor began discussion on Option 4: *appoint one eligible applicant to the CAC to fill the Natural Scientist/Biologist position from the slate of three nominees. The nominees are (in alphabetical order): Maria Therese Brown, Chuck Goodheart, and Christina Omran.* Commissioner Minor spoke about the professional history of Mr. Goodhart and spoke in favor of his nomination to the CAC. **Commissioner Minor then moved to nominate Mr. Chuck Goodheart for appointment to the Blueprint Citizen Advisory Committee. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Richardson.**

Commissioner Richardson spoke in favor of the motion and Chair Cummings thanked all the applicants for their submissions and attendance at the meeting.

The motion passed 12-0 (weighted 70-0).

- ***Item 4: Consideration of the Use of Local Sales Tax Revenues for Affordable Housing***

The following citizens spoke on Item 4:

Robert Deyle spoke on behalf of the Capitol Area Justice Ministry; Affordable Housing Research Team and spoke about the need for affordable housing for low and extremely low-income families.

Sylvia Jones spoke on behalf of the Capitol Area Justice Ministry; Affordable Housing Research Committee and spoke about the need for affordable housing for low and extremely low-income families. She requested that the IA Board amend the Interlocal Agreement to add affordable housing as a Blueprint Project and reallocate funding for affordable housing.

Stanley Sims spoke in favor of affordable housing and ending local homelessness.

Bill Wilson spoke on behalf of the Capitol Area Justice Ministry and his past experiences trying to increase affordable housing in the Leon County area. He spoke about the IA Board's ability to amend policy to allow for the purchase of real estate for affordable housing developments.

Terence Hinson spoke in favor of affordable housing and partnering with Bethel Baptist to develop affordable housing units.

Following public comment, Blueprint Director Autumn Calder began her presentation by stating that infrastructure surtax dollars could be used for affordable housing under Florida Statutes, but that the law limited the use of such dollars to the purchase of land and that a ground lease with another public or private entity would be necessary to develop the affordable housing units. She further explained that to use the dollars for the acquisition of land, the Interlocal Agreement would need to be amended. In order to allow for the complete implementation of previous IA Board direction for approved projects, staff did not recommend using infrastructure dollars for affordable housing. Next, Director Calder summarized the substantial amendment process by highlighting the requirement for two public hearings; recommendations from the Citizens Advisory Committee, the Technical Coordinating Committee, and the Intergovernmental Management Committee; and a super majority vote of both the members who are County Commissioners and the members who are City Commissioners.

OEV Director Keith Bowers then provided a staff analysis of the permissible uses of economic development dollars for affordable housing. He began by explaining that economic development dollars may only be used for the general public purpose of improving the local economy; then further summarized the IA Board's acceptance of OEV's Strategic Plan, the Targeted Industry Study, and several policy updates. Director Bowers explained that affordable housing does not align with the Strategic Plan and was not incorporated into the Targeted Industry Study. He stated that while the use of Economic Development funds for affordable housing was not precluded by Florida law, staff was recommending that the IA Board accept the analysis and not use Economic Development funds for affordable

housing as it does not align with the objectives in the Strategic Plan nor the Targeted Industry Study and would reduce the funding available for business recruitment, incubation, and expansion activities.

Blueprint Attorney Susan Dawson then explained that 27 counties in Florida collect a local government infrastructure surtax and that of the 27 counties only three counties (Pinellas, Alachua, and Collier) specifically allocate funds for affordable housing. Of the three counties, only Pinellas County has used the funds for land acquisition to date.

Commissioner Proctor spoke about the need for affordable housing and stated that he would support an initiative so long as it included parameters to prioritize local investors such as Bethel Missionary Baptist.

Commissioner Porter spoke in favor of affordable housing and supporting local needs. **Commissioner Porter moved to approve Option 1 to accept the report on the use of Local Sales Surtax Revenues for Affordable Housing and bring back an Agenda Item to initiate the substantial amendment process to add affordable housing as a funding category under both Infrastructure and Economic Development. The motion was seconded by Commissioner O'Keefe.**

Commissioner Richardson spoke about the original establishment of the Blueprint program and the initiation of the one penny sales tax. He explained that citizens voted with the expectation that the listed projects would be completed on time using the funds raised by the tax and stated that adding a new project like affordable housing without voter input could not only impact the approved projects but jeopardize the renewal of the surtax when voters are asked to vote again. Commissioner Richardson spoke in favor of affordable housing but cautioned about not keeping faith with the objectives of the Blueprint program.

Commissioner Williams-Cox spoke in favor of affordable housing and suggested that the IA Board only consider using Infrastructure funds for affordable housing.

Commissioner Porter amended her motion to approve Option 1 and bring back an Agenda Item to initiate the Substantial amendment Process to add affordable housing as a funding category under the Blueprint Infrastructure Project list. The amendment was seconded by Commissioner O'Keefe.

Commissioner O'Keefe spoke in favor of affordable housing and thanked staff for the thorough agenda item. Commissioner O'Keefe outlined several issues related to affordable housing including a decrease in population growth and job creation when there is a lack of affordable rental housing. Commissioner O'Keefe also spoke about the potential advantages to developers in partnering with a government agency such as Blueprint to develop affordable housing.

Commissioner Proctor inquired as to whether Blueprint could fund a revolving loan and Commissioner O'Keefe clarified that he was not suggesting that the Agency

provide revolving loans but that there may be certain advantages to private partners working with government agencies.

Commissioner Minor spoke about the need for affordable housing but stated that the IA Board should approach the issue with caution stating that the citizens did not explicitly vote for Blueprint dollars to be used for affordable housing. He also discussed the Live Local Act recently passed by the legislature and requested that the agenda item include an economic analysis and the opportunity cost for initiating an affordable housing policy. Commissioner Minor clarified that he wanted to know what may be lost or impacted if funds are allocated to an affordable housing project.

Commissioner Porter amended her motion to approve Option 1 and bring back an Agenda Item to initiate the Substantial Amendment Process to add affordable housing as a funding category under the Blueprint Infrastructure Project list and include an economic analysis in the agenda item. The amendment was seconded by Commissioner O'Keefe.

Commissioner Cummings asked for clarification on the type of information that would be included in the agenda item and Director Calder explained that the agenda item would include draft language for the amendment to the Interlocal Agreement and an analysis of the impacts of adding affordable housing as a Blueprint project including where funding may be allocated from and the impacts to other projects.

Mayor Dailey stated that he did not intend to support the motion and would make a substitute motion following his comments. He stated that adding the affordable housing project was different than the other brick and mortar Infrastructure Projects arguing that this would be a program and not a project. He suggested that the IA Board review an economic analysis for an affordable housing program before beginning the substantial amendment process.

Mayor Dailey made a substitute motion to accept Option 1. The substitute motion was seconded by Commissioner Caban.

Option 1: Accept the report on the use of Local Sales Surtax Revenues for Affordable Housing.

Commissioner Caban spoke about the need for affordable housing but stated that he could not support Commissioner Porter's motion because the citizens did not vote to use the surtax dollars for affordable housing when the referendum was passed. He stated that if the Commissioners wanted to address affordable housing needs then the comprehensive plan and current zoning ordinances could be amended to allow developers to increase density.

Commissioner Matlow spoke about the need for affordable housing and the substantial amendment process. He stated that beginning the substantial amendment process does not guarantee that affordable housing will be added as

a Blueprint project but would allow for further discussion and an in-depth analysis of the parameters and impacts of such a program.

Commissioner Maddox spoke in favor of supporting affordable housing but explained that he wanted to ensure that the existing projects were funded before allocating funding to affordable housing. He stated that homelessness was a major issue in the community and that affordable housing and homelessness were directly correlated. He also stated that there would be an economic impact to increasing affordable housing but stated that he wanted to ensure that the projects citizens voted on were provided in order to maintain the trust of the voters. Commissioner Maddox compared the motion to begin the substantial amendment process for affordable housing to the motion to begin the substantial amendment process for the North Monroe Gateway stating that substantial amendment for the North Monroe Gateway was unfunded, and that the substantial amendment process for affordable housing could also be unfunded.

Commissioner Welch spoke about his reservations for supporting the motion to begin the substantial amendment process for affordable housing. He spoke about the need for more affordable housing but discussed that it may be difficult to partner with private developers stating that the land may not be available. He stated that he would support the motion to begin the substantial amendment process to allow for the discussion around the topic but stated that the substantial amendment may not pass following the community input and the budget implications.

Commissioner Richardson spoke about a request that came before the CRA Board from a contractor seeking \$1 million to build 23 new rental units. Commissioner Richardson explained that of the 23 proposed units only one would be reserved for affordable housing. Commissioner Richardson stated that if the developer would only provide one rental unit using the \$1 million, he was concerned how much money it would take to develop multiple affordable housing units using infrastructure funds and how that use would impact other Blueprint projects.

Commissioner Caban spoke about the potential for cost inflation if the IA Board decided to use infrastructure funds for affordable housing stating the current cost to build affordable housing units is approximately double the cost of building single family homes.

Commissioner Proctor suggested that the citizens of Tallahassee consider a dedicated tax for the development of affordable housing so that there would not be a need to pull money from Economic Development or Infrastructure. He also proposed that the local government should seek land dedications or grants from the federal government for local needs.

Commissioner Maddox spoke about the Live Local Act and the requirement that there be local government contributions or match to participate in the program.

Commissioner Cummings spoke about the need for affordable housing in the community and stated that she believed the current needs of local citizens

outweighed the original sales tax referendum language. She stated that beginning the substantial amendment process lays the foundation to addressing the affordable housing issue.

Mayor Dailey rescinded his substitute motion.

Attorney Dawson clarified that the surtax is split 12% to OEV and 66% to Infrastructure with the remaining being divided between the City of Tallahassee and Leon County Government.

Commissioner Porter's amended motion passed 9-3 (weighted 51-19) with Mayor Dailey and Commissioners Richardson and Caban voting in opposition.

VI. DIRECTOR DISCUSSION ITEMS

Commissioner Williams-Cox moved to direct Staff to review the Non-Competitive Economic Development Project Proposal from the Ward Foundation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Richardson. The motion passed unanimously 11-0 (weighted 63-0). Mayor Dailey was not in the room at the time of the vote.

Commissioner Richardson moved to direct Staff to review the Non-Competitive Economic Development Project Proposal from Tally Robotics. The motion was seconded by Commissioner O'Keefe. The motion passed unanimously 12-0 (weighted 70-0).

Commissioner Minor spoke about the North Monroe project and encouraged the commissioners to speak to the business owners on that road.

Mayor Dailey apologized for missing the vote on the Ward Foundation Non-Competitive Economic Development Project Proposal but stated that he supported the motion.

Commissioner Matlow moved to direct the IMC to ensure that communications about the public, board members, and the press are respectful. Attorney Dawson explained that the motion was improper because the IA Board does not have management authority of the IMC. She explained that while the IMC was composed of the County Administrator and the City Manager, the individual positions report to their respective commissions independently but not to the IA Board. Commissioner Matlow asked how the IA Board was to direct the IMC and Attorney Dawson explained that IA Board direction was limited to project related matters and the authority explicitly included in the Interlocal Agreement. **Commissioner Matlow amended his motion to direct the Blueprint Intergovernmental Agency to treat the public with dignity and respect.** Commissioner Maddox inquired as to whether the IA Board could have a statement of decorum drafted that sets the expectation by which the IA Board will conduct business. Attorney Dawson explained that a decorum statement would be consistent with Robert's Rules of Order. **Commissioner Matlow amended his**

motion to direct staff to bring back an agenda item for a statement of decorum. The amendment was seconded by Commissioner Porter. Following further discussion, **Commissioner Matlow subsequently withdrew his motion.** Commissioner Proctor and O'Keefe expressed concerns about the organizational and management structure of Blueprint, the IMC, and the IA Board.

Commissioner Caban inquired about the design progress of the Airport Gateway Project. Director Calder explained that in 2019 the IA Board approved development of 100% plans for Segments C, D, and G; that Segments G and D were both recently moved into the design phase; and that Segment C was at 60% plans. Commissioner Caban inquired about the \$82 million budget for the project and asked whether the segments were being designed to remain within the \$82 million budget or to the original project scope. Director Calder explained that the consultants for the projects are informed of the IA Board direction and the approved allocation but since the scope of the project had not been changed, the project was being designed to the approved scope.

Commissioner Williams-Cox inquired as to how the estimated \$123 million dollar budget for the approved scope was determined. Director Calder explained that current project allocation and expenditure was approximately \$47 million to date and that the cost estimates for all projects are updated each year to reflect current cost projections accounting for the cost of labor, materials, and other market factors.

Commissioner Caban and Commissioner Cummings asked whether the project design had been amended for the \$82 million budget, and PLACE Director Artie White explained that while the budget change has been communicated to the consultant the scope of the project was not amended and that the IA Board would either need to increase the project budget to cover the cost of the approved scope or amend the project scope for the decreased budget.

Commissioner Proctor discussed his motion from a previous meeting to reduce the project budget for the Airport Gateway to \$2 million and inquired about how many lanes would be constructed on Capital Circle near Spring Hill Road. Director Calder explained that the Agency was acquiring the right of way for four lanes but was only constructing 2 lanes for the current project and working with the Florida Department of Transportation for a four-lane bridge. Commissioner Proctor then discussed either fully funding the Airport Gateway Project to fulfill the project scope or redirecting funding to construct four lanes on Capital Circle near Springhill Road.

Commissioner Cummings discussed needing to revisit the project scope for the Airport Gateway to evaluate the different segments of the project. She reiterated that while the IA Board reduced the project budget it was still necessary to evaluate the remaining segments of the project to amend the scope to be consistent with the approved budget.

Attorney Dawson explained that to amend the scope of the project the IA Board would need to go through the substantial amendment process as previously explained.

Commissioner Caban moved to direct Staff to bring back an Agenda Item at the February Meeting to address the scope of the Airport Gateway Project. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Proctor.

Commissioner Williams-Cox requested that the agenda item include the improvements to the Providence neighborhood related to the Airport Gateway project. Commissioner Matlow discussed segments E, F, and G for the project noting that the improvements for the Providence neighborhood were not included in those segments and that if the IA Board decided to only include E, F, and G in the Airport Gateway Project scope, the project budget could potentially be reduced to \$76 million and the Providence improvements would be in addition to the \$76 million.

Commissioner Matlow then asked if the full project budget was being covered from sales tax revenue. Director Calder explained that the funding for the project budget was a combination of bond issuance and sales tax revenue. Director Calder also clarified that the Providence neighborhood improvements were estimated at \$14 million. Commissioner Matlow then asked how much more money would be necessary to complete the approved project scope. Director Calder stated that the \$82 million is approximately \$36 million short to complete the current approved project scope based on the current cost estimate.

Commissioner Proctor asked whether the Orange Avenue segment of the project was being advanced funded and whether Springhill Road was a city or county road. Director Calder explained that Springhill Road was a county road within the city limits and that Blueprint was working with FDOT to leverage the construction for the Orange Avenue segment and that FDOT was allocating funding for the Munson Slough Bridge.

The motion passed 8-0 (weighted 46-0). Mayor Dailey, Commissioners Richardson, Maddox, and Welch were not in the room at the time of the vote.

Commissioner Porter moved to direct Staff to bring back an informational Agenda Item about outlining the steps for restructuring the Intergovernmental Agency so that the senior executive level leadership reports directly to the Board. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Proctor.

Commissioner Cummings asked for clarification on the motion and Commissioner Porter explained that the IA Board does not have the authority to hire and fire the executive level leadership and she wants the agenda item to outline the steps for restructuring the organization so that the PLACE Director, Blueprint Director, OEV Director, and the Blueprint Attorney report directly to the IA Board similar to the structure of the County Commission and the CRA.

Attorney Dawson explained that the motion was improper because the City Commission and the County Commission would need to sit as independent entities to restructure Blueprint by amending the Interlocal Agreement and that the IA Board did not have the authority to amend the Interlocal Agreement.

Commissioner Caban stated that he believed that the Interlocal Agreement could be amended by two methods. One method being initiation by either the City and County commission with the proposed amendments being sent to the other commission for review; and the other method being the IA Board initiate an amendment and submitting it to the City and County commissions for review and action. Attorney Dawson explained that a motion to amend the Interlocal Agreement was improper because it was contrary to the governing documents of the IA Board and that the final authority to restructure the Intergovernmental Agency would require the City and County commissions agreeing to amend the Interlocal Agreement.

PLACE Director Arite White reminded the IA Board that an agenda item reviewing the Blueprint structure was on the upcoming County Commission agenda. Commissioner Proctor asked whether the City Commission was going to review a similar agenda item. City Manager Reese Goad explained that the City Commission already reviewed a similar agenda item on August 23, 2023, and voted to accept the report. Commissioner Proctor stated that no action was taken to amend the Interlocal Agreement at the meeting.

Commissioner Porter moved to waive Robert's Rules of Order to allow the IA Board to discuss the structure of the Agency. The motion was seconded by Commissioner O'Keefe. Attorney Dawson explained that the motion was improper because there must be some governing order to the meeting and that the IA Board still would not have the authority to amend the governing structure of the Agency during Director Discussion. Commissioner Cummings did not take action on Commissioner Porter's motion to waive Robert's Rules.

Commissioner Matlow asked for clarification on the original motion as to what prohibited the IA Board from requesting the informational item. Attorney Dawson explained that the informational item was not improper but that the IA Board did not have the authority to restructure the Agency or amend the Interlocal Agreement and that any changes to the Interlocal Agreement would require action from the City Commission and the County Commission separately. After it was clarified that the motion for the informational item was proper, the IA Board voted on the motion.

The motion passed 7-1 (weighted 39-7). Commissioner Williams-Cox voted in opposition. Mayor Dailey, Commissioners Richardson, Maddox, and Welch were not in the room at the time of the vote.

VII. ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 7:24p.m.

*The next Blueprint Intergovernmental Agency Board of Directors' Meeting is scheduled for **February 29, 2024 at 3:00p.m.***